## **REMARKS**

Review and entry of this Rule 312 Amendment is requested.

## Amendments to the Specification

Applicant has amended paragraph [0014] to clarify that variables W and H represent the width and height of the target area.

Applicant has amended paragraph [0017] to swap the order of variables  $E_{B_{(x,y)}}$  and  $E_{B_k}$  in function d in equation (3) to be consistent with the definition of function d in equation (4), and to change variable k from upper to lower case in variable  $E_{B_k}$ .

Applicant has amended paragraph [0019] to change variable k from upper to lower case in variables  $E_{B_{k+1}}$  and  $E_{B_{k+2}}$ .

Applicant has amended paragraph [0023] to change "sample texture 106" to "sample area 106" to be consistent with the description of sample area 106 in the same paragraph.

Applicant has amended paragraphs [0026] and [0030] to change variable k from upper to lower case in variable  $E_{B_k}$ .

## Amendments to the Claims

Applicant has amended claim 7 to delete "along with the first and the second areas" from the first (1st) claim element. This clarifies claim 7 since it is not clear whether "the first and the second areas" refer to boundary areas of the target patch instead of the sample patch.

Applicant has amended claim 12 to delete "and filling the target path with said one sample patch" from the ninth (9th) claim element. This clarifies claim 12 since the target patch is actually filled with the adjusted sample patch in the eleventh (11th) claim element.

Support for these amendments can be found throughout the originally filed specification and drawings. No new matter has been added. Should the Examiner have any questions, please call the undersigned at (408) 382-0480.

**EXPRESS MAIL LABEL NO:** 

EV 633270948 US

Respectfully submitted,

David C. Hsia

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 46,235